Directions: Create your own prompt/focus to compare Sophocles’ ***Antigone*** (and even ***Oedipus Rex***), George Lucas’ Star Wars films, and the two+ myth mentor texts we have studied in a formal response paper (below).

Eliade, Mircea. "The Structure of Myths." *Myth and Reality*. New York: Harper & Row, 1963. Web. 15 May 2014. <http://comminfo.rutgers.edu/~mjoseph/eliade.html>.

Magoulick, Mary. "What Is Myth?" *What Is Myth?* Georgia College. Web. 20 May 2014. <http://www.faculty.de.gcsu.edu/~mmagouli/defmyth.htm>.

Paper Requirements

* Creative Organization and Structure (introduction, body, and conclusion)
* Quoted Evidence from *Antigone* and one of the myth mentor texts
* Summarized Evidence from *Star Wars*
* Clear Analysis vs. too much summary
* MLA Headers and formatting

Below are some prompts/tips you can choose to help you write your response:

* Has the definition and understanding of “myth” changed/not changed over time?
* How does Antigone and Luke Skywalker compare in terms of being the mythological heroes of their stories?
* Are the lessons of the old Greek myths still prevalent and/or used in today’s contemporary culture?

Name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ *Score: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/40pts*

* Other: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **A** | **B** | **C** | **D** |
| I have thoughtful, creative analysis and complete response that addresses the prompt (10pts).I use thoughtful, well cited and integrated evidence (10pts).I have thoughtful, creative, and effective organization (10pts).I have inventive mechanics and no distracting errors (5pts)I have the appropriate MLA format and requirements: 3-4 pages, double-spaced, works cited (5pts) | I have solid/appropriate analysis and a complete response that addresses the prompt (8pts)I use appropriate, clearly cited and integrated evidence (8pts).I have clear and effective organization (8pts).I have solid mechanics, and some errors, but they aren’t distracting (4pts).\*\*\* | I have some analysis, but its basic, surface level, underdeveloped and/or my response may be complete but it does not fully address the prompt (6-7pts).I use some appropriate and clearly cited evidence, but it is limited and/or had some minor errors (6-7pts).I have relatively clear organization, but it is limited, cliché, etc. (7pts).I have basic mechanics and some distracting errors (3pts).I have most of the MLA format (3pts). | I have little analysis and/or it poor and has lots of misinterpretation and/or it is not complete, and/or it does stay focused on the prompt (0-5pts).I use very little evidence and/or there are errors, poorly connected, etc. (0-5pts).I have weak, incomplete, and/or confusing organization (0-5pts).I have poor mechanics and lots of distracting errors (0-2pts).I do not follow the MLA format and requirements and/or my paper is too short (0-2pts). |